

**HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH : BENCH AT INDORE
LARGER BENCH I (Time 10:30 AM)**

**Daily Cause List dated : 18-09-2025
BEFORE: HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE**

**HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE VIVEK RUSIA
HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE VIVEK AGARWAL
HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE SUBODH ABHYANKAR
HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE VINAY SARAF**

Court Hall No.: 1

MOTION HEARING

[DIRECTION MATTERS]

SN	Case No	Petitioner / Respondent	Petitioner/Respondent Advocate
1	WP 09623/2021	NITIN ENTERPRISES THROUGH NITIN LODWAL	AMIT MISHRA AMIT AGRAWAL[AMICUS CURIAE], R S CHABRA[AMICUS CURIAE], VEER KUMAR JAIN[AMICUS CURIAE], A K SETHI[AMICUS CURIAE], PIYUSH MATHUR[AMICUS CURIAE], VAIBHAV JAIN[AMICUS CURIAE], RAHUL SETHI[AMICUS CURIAE], ARJUN AGRAWAL[AMICUS CURIAE], SHRI SHEKHAR SHARMA[AMICUS CURIAE], H.Y. MEHTA[AMICUS CURIAE]
		Versus	
		URBAN ADMINISTRATION AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT <i>& Ors.</i>	ADVOCATE GENERAL MANOJ MUNSHI[R-3][R-4][R-5][R-6], LUCKY JAIN[R-3][R-4][R-5][R-6], ANKIT MORE[R-3][R-4][R-5][R-6], PRACHI JAIN[R-3][R-4][R-5][R-6], VIKRAM MALVIYA[R-3][R-4][R-5][R-6], VIVEK RANJAN PANDEY[INT], SHAMBHAVI SHRIVASTAVA[INT], SIDDHARTH SHARMA[R-4][R-5][R-6], SHANTANU SHARMA[R-4][R-5][R-6], PRANAY SHUKLA[R-4][R-5][R-6], KARN SINGH PARIHAR[R-4][R-5][R-6], SUYASH MOHAN GURU[INT], AMOL SHRIVASTAVA[INT], HINDESH PAL[INT], ABHISHEK DWIVEDI[INT], DEEPANSHU RAI[INT], VISHAL KHULLAR[INT]
		MERCHANTILE LAW-15000 - Contract Act, 1872-15002 - Contract Act, 1872-15002 Relief - TO SET ASIDE THE IMPUGNED ORDER {FIXED DATE (COURT ORDER) COVID-19} [FOR CONSIDERING FOLLOWING QUESTIONS:- (I) WHETHER THE LOSS ARISING OUT OF BLACKLISTING ORDER CAN BE ASCERTAINABLE/ASCERTAINED AND HENCE FALLS WITHIN THE AMBIT OF SECTION 2(1)(D) OF THE ADHINIYAM. IF NOT, WHETHER THE FULL BENCH IN GOURI GANESH (SUPRA) AND DIVISION BENCH IN AWASTHI BROTHER CONSTRUCTION COMPANY (SUPRA) HAVE CORRECTLY OPINED THAT AGAINST BLACKLISTING ORDER ALSO CONTRACTOR HAS A REMEDY BEFORE THE TRIBUNAL CONSTITUTED UNDER THE ADHINIYAM ? (II) IF A COMPOSITE ORDER OF TERMINATION OF CONTRACT AND BLACKLISTING IS CALLED IN QUESTION IN A WRIT PETITION AND NO REMEDY IS AVAILABLE TO THE CONTRACTOR AGAINST THE BLACKLISTING ORDER BEFORE THE TRIBUNAL, WHETHER A WRIT PETITION AGAINST A COMPOSITE ORDER IS ENTERTAINABLE DESPITE AVAILABILITY OF REMEDY AGAINST THE TERMINATION OF WORKS CONTRACT UNDER THE ADHINIYAM ? FOR APPL. FOR RECALLING OF PROCEEDING DATED 14.09.21 ON IA 10161/2021] AND IA NO. 3933/2021 - APPLICATION FOR URGENT HEARING AND URGENT RELIEF DURING VACATION AND IA NO. 3934/2021 - URGENT HEARING APPLN. AND IA NO.7888/2025-APPLICATION SEEKING INTERVENTION/ PERMISSION TO ADDRESS/ SUBMIT WRITTEN SUBMISSION THROUGH ADVOCATES BEFORE AND IA NO.8368/2025- INTERVENTION APPLN. 01-A PETITION FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF CONSTITUTION	
		ADJUSTMENT NOTE ARJUN AGRAWAL AMIT AGRAWAL	17-09-2025 TO 18-09-2025 17-09-2025 TO 18-09-2025

TOTAL CASES : 1 (with connected matters)

REGISTRAR (IT)